## 3. Numerical Methods

The majority of equations of interest in actual practice do not admit any analytic solution. Even equations as simple as $x=e^{-x}$ and $I=\int e^{-x^{2}} d x$ have no exact solution. Such cases require numerical methods. Only a very brief survey is presented here.
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3.04 Fourth Order Runge-Kutta Procedure (RK4)

### 3.01 Bisection

## Example 3.01.1

Find the solution of $x=e^{-x}$, correct to 4 decimal places.

From a sketch of the two curves $y=x$ and $y=e^{-x}$, it is obvious that the only solution is somewhere in the interval $(0,1)$.

Let $f(x)=x-e^{-x}$.
Clearly

$$
f(0)=-1<0
$$

and

$$
f(1)=1-1 / e>0
$$

$f(x)$ is continuous and changes sign only once inside $(0,1)$.


Halve the interval repeatedly and retain the half with a sign change:

$f(0.50000)=-0.1065 \ldots<0 \Rightarrow$ root is in $(0.50000,1.00000)$

$f(0.75000)=+0.2776 \ldots>0 \Rightarrow$ root is in $(0.50000,0.75000)$

$f(0.62500)=+0.0897 \ldots>0 \Rightarrow$ root is in $(0.50000,0.62500)$

$f(0.56250)=-0.0072 \ldots<0 \Rightarrow$ root is in ( $0.56250,0.62500$ )

## Example 3.01.1 (continued)

This method is slow and requires eighteen steps before the change in $x$ is small enough to leave the fourth decimal place undisturbed with certainty: $f(0.567142)=-0.0000 \ldots<0 \Rightarrow$ root is in ( $0.567142,0.567146$ )

This method is equivalent to zooming in graphically by repeated factors of 2 until the desired accuracy is obtained. The result of a faster graphical zoom, sufficient to determine the solution to five decimal places, is displayed here:


Correct to four decimal places, the solution to $x=e^{-x}$ is $\boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{0 . 5 6 7 1}$.
A calculator quickly confirms that $e^{-0.5671} \approx 0.5671$.
A spreadsheet to demonstrate the bisection method for this example is available from the course web site, at "www.engr.mun.ca/~ggeorge/9420/demos/".

### 3.02 Newton's Method

From the definition of the derivative, $\frac{d y}{d x}=\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}$, we obtain $\Delta y \approx \frac{d y}{d x} \Delta x$ or, equivalently,
$\Delta x \approx \frac{\Delta y}{f^{\prime}(x)}$.
The tangent line to the curve $y=f(x)$ at the point $\mathrm{P}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ has slope $=f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$.

Follow the tangent line down to its $x$ axis intercept.
That intercept is the next approximation $x_{n+1}$.
$\Delta y=y_{n+1}-y_{n}=0-y_{n}=-f\left(x_{n}\right)$ and
$\Delta x=x_{n+1}-x_{n}$

$\Rightarrow \quad x_{n+1}-x_{n}=-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$
If $x_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ approximation to the equation $f(x)=0$, then a better approximation may be

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$

which is Newton's method.

## Example 3.02.1

Find the solution of $x=e^{-x}$, correct to 4 decimal places.

From a sketch of the two curves $y=x$ and $y=e^{-x}$, it is obvious that the only solution is somewhere in the interval $(0,1)$. A reasonable first guess is $x_{0}=\frac{1}{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=x-e^{-x} \quad \Rightarrow f^{\prime}(x)=1+e^{-x} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=x_{n}-\frac{x_{n}-e^{-x_{n}}}{1+e^{-x_{n}}}
\end{aligned}
$$



Table of consecutive values:

| $x_{n}$ | $f\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n}-e^{-x_{n}}$ | $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=1+e^{-x_{n}}$ | $\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.500000 | -0.106531 | 1.606531 | -0.066311 |
| 0.566311 | -0.001305 | 1.567616 | -0.000832 |
| 0.567143 | 0.000000 | 1.567143 | 0.000000 |
| 0.567143 |  |  |  |

Correct to four decimal places, the solution to $x=e^{-x}$ is $\boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{0 . 5 6 7 1}$.
In fact, we have the root correct to six decimal places, $x=0.567143$.
A spreadsheet to demonstrate Newton's method for this example is available from the course web site, at "www.engr.mun.ca/~ggeorge/9420/demos/".

This method converges much more rapidly than bisection, but requires more computational effort.

Note that Newton's method can fail if $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ in the neighbourhood of the root. A shallow tangent line could result in a sequence of approximations that fails to converge to the correct value.

### 3.03 Euler's Method for First Order ODEs

One of the simplest methods for obtaining the numerical values of solutions of initial value problems of the form

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}
$$

is Euler's method.

From the definition of the derivative, $\frac{d y}{d x}=\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}$, we obtain $\Delta y \approx \frac{d y}{d x} \Delta x$.
If we seek values of the solution $y(x)$ at successive evenly spaced values of $x$, then we have $\Delta y=y_{n+1}-y_{n} \Rightarrow y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\Delta y \approx y_{n}+f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \Delta x$.
With (by convention) $h=\Delta x$, we have the iterative scheme

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

However, errors propagate rapidly unless the step size $h$ is very small, which requires a proportionate increase in the number of computations. Several modifications to Euler's method have been proposed, that replace the derivative $y^{\prime}=f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ by a weighted average of values of $f$ at points around $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$.

One of the most popular modifications is the fourth order Runge-Kutta method (RK4).

### 3.04 Fourth Order Runge-Kutta Procedure (RK4)

Values $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ [with $x_{n}=x_{0}+n h$ ] of the solution $y(x)$ to the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}
$$

are given by the iterative scheme

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
& k_{2}=f\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h, y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h k_{1}\right) \\
& k_{3}=f\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h, y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h k_{2}\right) \\
& k_{4}=f\left(x_{n}+h, y_{n}+h k_{3}\right) \\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example 3.04.1

Use the RK4 procedure with step size $h=0.1$ to obtain an approximation to $y(1.5)$ for the solution of the initial value problem $y^{\prime}=2 x y, y(1)=1$.
$x_{0}=1, h=0.1$ and we want $y(1.5) . \quad 1.5=1+5 \times 0.1$, so we need to find $y_{5}$.
$\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=(1,1)$ and $f(x, y)=2 x y$.
For $n=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=2 x_{0} y_{0}=2 \times 1 \times 1=2 \\
& k_{2}=2\left(1+\frac{1}{2}(0.1)\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{2}(0.1) 2\right)=2.31 \\
& k_{3}=2\left(1+\frac{1}{2}(0.1)\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{2}(0.1) 2.31\right)=2.34255 \\
& k_{4}=2(1+0.1)(1+(0.1) 2.34255)=2.715361 \\
& y_{1}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right)=1+\frac{0.1}{6}(2+2(2.31)+2(2.34255)+2.715361)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $y(1.1) \approx y_{1}=1.23367435$

We can proceed with a similar chain of calculations to find $y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}$ and finally $y_{5}$.

Example 3.04.1 (continued)

| $n$ | $x_{n}$ | $y_{n}$ | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | $k_{3}$ | $k_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 2.000000 | 2.310000 | 2.342550 | 2.715361 |
| 1 | 1.100000 | 1.233674 | 2.714084 | 3.149571 | 3.199652 | 3.728735 |
| 2 | 1.200000 | 1.552695 | 3.726469 | 4.347547 | 4.425182 | 5.187555 |
| 3 | 1.300000 | 1.993687 | 5.183586 | 6.082738 | 6.204124 | 7.319478 |
| 4 | 1.400000 | 2.611633 | 7.312573 | 8.634059 | 8.825675 | 10.482602 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 0 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 9 0 2 1 1}$ |  |  |  |  |

Therefore $y(1.5) \approx 3.4902$.
This initial value problem happens to have an exact solution, $y=e^{x^{2}-1}$. We can therefore test the accuracy of the RK4 procedure in this case.

The exact value of $y(1.5)$ is $3.4903 \ldots$, an absolute error of less than 0.0002 and a relative error of less than $0.01 \%$. Euler's method, in contrast, has an error exceeding $16 \%$ !

