# 2.02 Summary of Matrix Algebra

Some rules of matrix algebra are summarized here.

The **dimensions** of a matrix are (# rows  $\times$  #columns) [in that order].

Addition and subtraction are defined only for matrices of the same dimensions as each other. The sum of two matrices is found by adding the corresponding entries.

Example 2.02.1

[1	2	0		[-1	2	1		0	4	1
0	3	2	Ŧ	0	1	0	_	0	4	2

# Scalar multiplication:

The product cA of matrix A with scalar c is obtained by multiplying every element in the matrix by c.

Example 2.02.2

 $5\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 15 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$ 

# Matrix multiplication:

The product C = AB of a  $(p \times q)$  matrix A with an  $(r \times s)$  matrix B is defined if and only if q = r. The product C has dimensions  $(p \times s)$  and entries

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{q} a_{ik} b_{kj}$$

or  $c_{ij} = (i^{th} \text{ row of A}) \bullet (j^{th} \text{ column of B})$  [usual Cartesian dot product]

Example 2.02.3

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 \times 3 + 2 \times 2 + 0 \times 1) \\ (0 \times 3 + 3 \times 2 + 2 \times 1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that matrix multiplication is, in general, **not commutative**:  $BA \neq AB$ . In this example, BA is not even defined! The **transpose** of the  $(m \times n)$  matrix  $A = \{a_{ij}\}$  is the  $(n \times m)$  matrix  $A^{T} = \{a_{ji}\}$ . The transpose of the product AB is  $(AB)^{T} = B^{T}A^{T}$ .

Example 2.02.4

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies A^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, B^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\implies B^{T}A^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\implies B^{T}A^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = (AB)^{T}$$

A matrix is **symmetric** if and only if  $A^T = A$  (which requires  $a_{ji} = a_{ij}$  for all (i, j)). A matrix is **skew-symmetric** if and only if  $A^T = -A$ .

A square matrix has equal numbers of rows and columns.

If a matrix is symmetric or skew-symmetric, then it must be a square matrix.

If a matrix is skew-symmetric, then it must be a square matrix whose leading diagonal elements are all zero.

Example 2.02.5

	[ 1	5	0	-2	
٨	5	2	-1	7	is symmetric
A =	0	-1	3	1	is symmetric.
	2	7	1	4	
	0	5	0	-2	
D _	-5	0	-1	7	is skow symmetric
D =	0	1	0	-1	is skew-symmetric.
	2	-7	1	0	

Any square matrix may be written as the sum of a symmetric matrix and a skew-symmetric matrix.

A square matrix is **upper triangular** if all entries below the leading diagonal are zero. A square matrix is **lower triangular** if all entries above the leading diagonal are zero. A square matrix that is both upper and lower triangular is **diagonal**.

Example 2.02.6

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & \frac{1}{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is upper triangular.  
$$A^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{5} & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is lower triangular.  
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is diagonal.

The **trace** of a diagonal matrix is the sum of its elements.  $\Rightarrow$  trace(B) = 6.

The diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are all one is the **identity matrix** I. Let  $I_n$  represent the  $(n \times n)$  identity matrix.  $I_m A = A I_n = A$  for all  $(m \times n)$  matrices A.

If it exists, the **inverse**  $A^{-1}$  of a square matrix A is such that  $A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = I$ If the inverse  $A^{-1}$  exists, then  $A^{-1}$  is unique and A is **invertible**. If the inverse  $A^{-1}$  does not exist, then A is **singular**.

Important distinctions between matrix algebra and scalar algebra:

ab = ba for all scalars a, b; but AB = BA is true only for some special choices of matrices A, B.

 $ab = 0 \implies a = 0$  and/or b = 0, but AB = 0 can happen when neither A nor B is the zero matrix.

 $\frac{\text{Example 2.02.7}}{\text{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \text{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{O}$ 

## 2.03 Determinants and Inverse Matrices

The determinant of the trivial  $1 \times 1$  matrix is just its sole entry: det [a] = a.

The determinant of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix A is

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}| = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - bc$$

For higher order  $(n \times n)$  matrices A = {  $a_{ij}$  }, the determinant can be evaluated as follows: The **minor** M<sub>ij</sub> of element  $a_{ij}$  is the determinant of order (n - 1) formed from matrix A by deleting the row and column through the element  $a_{ij}$ .

The **cofactor**  $C_{ij}$  of element  $a_{ij}$  is found from  $C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}$ 

The determinant of A is the sum, along any one row or down any one column, of the product of each element with its cofactor:

$$\det(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} C_{ij} \qquad (i = \text{any one of } 1, 2, ..., n)$$

or

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} C_{ij} \qquad (j = \text{any one of } 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

If one row or column has more zero entries than the others, then one usually chooses to expand along that row or column.

The determinant of a triangular matrix is just the product of its diagonal entries. det (I) = 1

### Example 2.03.1

Evaluate the vector (cross) product of the vectors  $\vec{a} = \hat{i} + 2\hat{j} + 3\hat{k}$  and  $\vec{b} = 2\hat{i} + 4\hat{j} + 3\hat{k}$ .

Expanding along the top row,

$$\vec{\mathbf{a}} \times \vec{\mathbf{b}} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{i}} & \hat{\mathbf{j}} & \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = +\hat{\mathbf{i}} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 \end{vmatrix} - \hat{\mathbf{j}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + \hat{\mathbf{k}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= +(2 \times 3 - 4 \times 3)\hat{\mathbf{i}} - (1 \times 3 - 2 \times 3)\hat{\mathbf{j}} + (1 \times 4 - 2 \times 2)\hat{\mathbf{k}}$$
$$\therefore \quad \vec{\mathbf{a}} \times \vec{\mathbf{b}} = -6\hat{\mathbf{i}} + 3\hat{\mathbf{j}}$$

$$det(AB) = det(BA) = det(A) det(B)$$
$$det(A^{T}) = det(A)$$

det (A) = 0  $\implies$  A is singular.

$$det(A) \neq 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad A^{-1} = \frac{adj(A)}{det(A)}$$

where adj(A) is the adjoint matrix of A, which is the transpose of the matrix of cofactors of A. For a (2×2) matrix, the formula for the inverse follows quickly:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \implies A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} (ad \neq bc)$$

Example 2.03.2

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \implies \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

For higher order matrices, this adjoint/determinant method of obtaining the inverse matrix becomes very tedious and time-consuming. A much faster method of finding the inverse involves Gaussian elimination to transform the augmented matrix [A | I] into the augmented matrix in reduced echelon form  $[I | A^{-1}]$ .

Example 2.03.3

Find the inverse of the matrix 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A|I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & | 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & | 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 & | 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Multiply Row 1 by (-1):  
$$\frac{R_1 \times -1}{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & | & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example 2.03.3 (continued)

From Row 2 subtract  $(3 \times \text{Row 1})$  and to Row 3 add  $(2 \times \text{Row 1})$ :

$$\xrightarrow{R_2 - 3R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 1 & | & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 & | & -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

All entries below the first leading one are now zero. The next leading entry is a '5'. Scale it down to a '1'. Multiply Row 2 by (1/5):

- 1	[1	-1	0	-1	0	0
$\xrightarrow{R_2 \times \frac{1}{5}} \rightarrow$	0	1	$\frac{1}{5}$	<u>3</u> 5	$\frac{1}{5}$	0
	0	-3	-1	-2	0	1

Clear the entry below the new leading one. To Row 3 add  $(3 \times \text{Row } 2)$ :

$$\xrightarrow[R_3+3R_2]{} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \boxed{1} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{3}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & | & -\frac{1}{5} & \frac{3}{5} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The next leading entry is a '-2/5'. Scale it down to a '1'. Multiply Row 3 by (-5/2):

$$\xrightarrow{R_3 \times -\frac{5}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{5} & | & \frac{3}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

From Row 2 subtract  $(1/5 \times \text{Row 3})$ :

To Row 1 add Row 2:

$$\xrightarrow{R_1 + R_2} \left[ I | A^{-1} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix} \implies A^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example 2.03.3 (continued)

As a check on the answer,

$$A^{-1}A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = I$$

Determinants may be evaluated in a similar manner:

Every row operation that subtracts a multiple of a row from another row produces a matrix whose determinant is the same as the previous matrix.

Every interchange of rows changes the sign of the determinant.

Every multiplication of a row by a constant multiplies the determinant by that constant.

Tracking the operations performed in Example 2.03.3 above (that reduced matrix A to the identity matrix I),

Operations	Net factor to date
Multiply Row 1 by (–1):	× (-1)
From Row 2 subtract $(3 \times \text{Row 1})$ and	d $\times (-1)$
to Row 3 add $(2 \times Row 1)$ :	× (-1)
Multiply Row 2 by $(1/5)$ :	× (-1/5)
To Row 3 add $(3 \times Row 2)$ :	$\times (-1/5)$
Multiply Row 3 by $(-5/2)$ :	× (+1/2)
From Row 2 subtract $(1/5 \times \text{Row 3})$ :	$\times$ (+1/2)
To Row 1 add Row 2:	$\times$ (+1/2)

Therefore

det I = 
$$\frac{1}{2} \times \det A$$
  $\Rightarrow$  det A =  $\begin{vmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 \end{vmatrix}$  = 2(det I) = 2

One can also show that

$$\operatorname{adj}\left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0\\ 3 & 2 & 1\\ -2 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & -3 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \implies A^{-1} = \frac{\operatorname{adj}(A)}{\operatorname{det}(A)} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & -3 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

# 2.04 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

## Example 2.04.1

In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the effect of reflection, in a vertical plane mirror through the origin that makes an angle  $\theta$  with the *x*-*z* coordinate plane, on the values of the Cartesian coordinates (*x*, *y*, *z*), may be represented by the matrix equation



$$\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{new}} = \mathbf{R}_{\theta} \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{old}} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} x_{\text{new}} \\ y_{\text{new}} \\ z_{\text{new}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} +\cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta & 0 \\ -\sin 2\theta & -\cos 2\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{\text{old}} \\ y_{\text{old}} \\ z_{\text{old}} \end{bmatrix}$$

The reflection matrix  $R_{\theta}$  may be constructed from the composition of three consecutive operations:

rotate all of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  about the *z* axis, so that the mirror is rotated into the *x*-*z* plane; then reflect the *y* coordinate to its negative; then

rotate all of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  about the *z* axis, so that the mirror is rotated back to its starting position. With the help of some trigonometric identities, one can show that

Γ	$\cos(-\theta)$	$-\sin(-\theta)$	0 ][	1	0	0 ]	$\cos\theta$	$-\sin\theta$	0		$\left[+\cos 2\theta\right]$	$-\sin 2\theta$	0
	$\sin(-\theta)$	$\cos(- heta)$	0	0	-1	0	$\sin\theta$	$\cos \theta$	0	=	$-\sin 2\theta$	$-\cos 2\theta$	0
	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1		0	0	1

Obviously, any point on the mirror does not move as a result of the reflection.

Points on the mirror have coordinates  $(r \cos \theta, -r \sin \theta, z)$ , where r and z are any real numbers.

[Note that two free parameters are needed to describe a two-dimensional surface.]

$$\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} r\cos\theta \\ -r\sin\theta \\ z \end{bmatrix} \implies \mathbf{R}_{\theta} \vec{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta & 0 \\ -\sin 2\theta & -\cos 2\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r\cos\theta \\ -r\sin\theta \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} r(\cos 2\theta\cos\theta + \sin 2\theta\sin\theta) \\ r(-\sin 2\theta\cos\theta + \cos 2\theta\sin\theta) \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r\cos(2\theta - \theta) \\ -r\sin(2\theta - \theta) \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r\cos\theta \\ -r\sin\theta \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \vec{\mathbf{x}}$$

Therefore any member of the two dimensional vector space

$$\vec{\mathbf{x}} = \left\{ r \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \\ -\sin \theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + z \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \qquad (r, z \in \mathbb{R})$$

is invariant under the reflection,  $(\mathbf{R}_{\theta} \mathbf{\bar{x}} = \mathbf{\bar{x}})$ . The basis vectors of this vector space,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \\ -\sin \theta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ are the eigenvectors of } R_{\theta} \text{ for the eigenvalue } +1,$$

(as is any non-zero combination of them).

Any point on the line through the origin that is at right angles to the mirror,  $(r \sin \theta, r \cos \theta, 0)$ , will be reflected to  $-(r \sin \theta, r \cos \theta, 0)$ .

For these points,  $\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{\bar{x}} = -1 \mathbf{\bar{x}}$ .

The basis vector of this one-dimensional vector space,  $\begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$
, is the eigenvector of  $\mathbf{R}_{\theta}$  for the eigenvalue -1, 0

(as is any non-zero multiple of it).



The zero vector is always a solution of any matrix equation of the form  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ .  $\mathbf{\bar{x}} = \mathbf{\bar{0}}$  is known as the **trivial solution**.

Non-trivial solutions of A  $\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  are possible only for  $\lambda = +1$  and for  $\lambda = -1$  in this example (with A = R<sub> $\theta$ </sub>).

The eigenvectors for  $\lambda = +1$  correspond to points on the mirror that map to themselves under the reflection operation  $R_{\rho}$ .

The eigenvectors for  $\lambda = -1$  correspond to points on the normal line that map to their own negatives under the reflection operation  $R_{\rho}$ .

No other non-zero vectors will map to simple multiples of themselves under  $R_{\mu}$ .

We can summarize the results by displaying the unit eigenvectors as the columns of one matrix and their corresponding eigenvalues as the matching entries in a diagonal matrix:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the matrix X is **orthogonal**,  $(X^{-1} = X^{T} - its inverse is the same as its transpose)$ [In this case, X happens to be symmetric also, so that  $X^{-1} = X^{T} = X$ .]

Also note that  $X^{-1}R_{\theta}X = \Lambda$ :  $\begin{bmatrix} \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0\\ \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta & 0\\ -\sin 2\theta & -\cos 2\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0\\ \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Therefore the matrix X of unit eigenvectors of  $R_{\rho}$  diagonalizes the matrix  $R_{\rho}$ .

This is generally true of any  $(n \times n)$  matrix that possesses *n* linearly independent eigenvectors (some  $(n \times n)$  matrices do not).

Note that

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\bar{x}} = \lambda\mathbf{\bar{x}} \implies (\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{\bar{x}} = \mathbf{\bar{0}}$ 

The solution to this square matrix equation will be unique if and only if det  $(A - \lambda I) \neq 0$ . That unique solution is the trivial solution  $\mathbf{\bar{x}} = \mathbf{\bar{0}}$ .

Therefore eigenvectors can be found if and only if  $\lambda$  is such that det  $(A - \lambda I) = 0$ .

## General method to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors

det  $(A - \lambda I) = 0$  is the **characteristic equation** from which all of the eigenvalues of the matrix A can be found. For each value of  $\lambda$ , the corresponding eigenvectors are determined by finding the non-trivial solutions to the matrix equation  $(A - \lambda I)\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \bar{\mathbf{0}}$ .

## Example 2.04.2

Find all eigenvalues and unit eigenvectors for the matrix 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Characteristic equation:

 $\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0 \implies \begin{vmatrix} -2 - \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & -2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \implies (-2 - \lambda)^2 - 1 = 0$  $\Rightarrow \lambda^{2} + 4\lambda + 4 - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^{2} + 4\lambda + 3 = 0 \Rightarrow (\lambda + 3)(\lambda + 1) = 0$ Therefore the eigenvalues are  $\lambda = -3 \text{ and } \lambda = -1.$  $\lambda = -3$ :  $(A - (-3)I)\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \bar{\mathbf{0}} \implies \begin{bmatrix} -2+3 & 1\\ 1 & -2+3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x\\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $\Rightarrow$  x + y = 0 (only one independent equation)  $\Rightarrow y = -x$  $\Rightarrow$  any non-zero multiple of  $\begin{vmatrix} +1 \\ -1 \end{vmatrix}$  is an eigenvector for  $\lambda = -3$ . The unit eigenvector is  $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{vmatrix} +1 \\ -1 \end{vmatrix}$  (or its negative).  $\lambda = -1$ :  $(\mathbf{A} - (-1)\mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \begin{vmatrix} -2+1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2+1 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} x \\ y \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$  $\Rightarrow$  -x + y = 0 (only one independent equation)  $\Rightarrow y = x$  $\Rightarrow$  any non-zero multiple of  $\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$  is an eigenvector for  $\lambda = -1$ . The unit eigenvector is  $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$  (or its negative). A matrix X that diagonalizes A (by  $X^{T}AX = \Lambda$ ) is  $X = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$ One can quickly show that

 $X^{T}AX = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \Lambda.$ 

**END OF CHAPTER 2** 

# 3. Numerical Methods

The majority of equations of interest in actual practice do not admit any analytic solution. Even equations as simple as  $x = e^{-x}$  and  $I = \int e^{-x^2} dx$  have no exact solution. Such cases require numerical methods. Only a very brief survey is presented here.

# **Sections in this Chapter:**

- 3.01 Bisection
- 3.02 Newton's Method
- 3.03 Euler's Method for First Order ODEs
- **3.04** Fourth Order Runge-Kutta Procedure (RK4)

# 3.01 Bisection

# Example 3.01.1

Find the solution of  $x = e^{-x}$ , correct to 4 decimal places.



Example 3.01.1 (continued)

This method is slow and requires eighteen steps before the change in x is small enough to leave the fourth decimal place undisturbed with certainty:

 $f(0.567142) = -0.0000... < 0 \implies \text{root is in } (0.567142, 0.567146)$ 

This method is equivalent to zooming in graphically by repeated factors of 2 until the desired accuracy is obtained. The result of a faster graphical zoom, sufficient to determine the solution to five decimal places, is displayed here:



Correct to four decimal places, the solution to  $x = e^{-x}$  is x = 0.5671.

A calculator quickly confirms that  $e^{-0.5671} \approx 0.5671$ .

A spreadsheet to demonstrate the bisection method for this example is available from the course web site, at "www.engr.mun.ca/~ggeorge/9420/demos/".

# 3.02 Newton's Method

From the definition of the derivative,  $\frac{dy}{dx} = \lim_{\Delta x \to 0} \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}$ , we obtain  $\Delta y \approx \frac{dy}{dx} \Delta x$  or, equivalently,

$$\Delta x \approx \frac{\Delta y}{f'(x)}.$$

The tangent line to the curve y = f(x) at the point  $P(x_n, y_n)$  has slope  $= f'(x_n)$ .

Follow the tangent line down to its *x* axis intercept. That intercept is the next approximation  $x_{n+1}$ .

$$\Delta y = y_{n+1} - y_n = 0 - y_n = -f(x_n) \text{ and}$$
  

$$\Delta x = x_{n+1} - x_n$$
  

$$\Rightarrow x_{n+1} - x_n = -\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$



If  $x_n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  approximation to the equation f(x) = 0, then a better approximation may be

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

which is Newton's method.

## Example 3.02.1

Find the solution of  $x = e^{-x}$ , correct to 4 decimal places.

From a sketch of the two curves y = xand  $y = e^{-x}$ , it is obvious that the only solution is somewhere in the interval (0, 1). A reasonable first guess is  $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

$$f(x) = x - e^{-x} \implies f'(x) = 1 + e^{-x}$$
$$\implies x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = x_n - \frac{x_n - e^{-x_n}}{1 + e^{-x_n}}.$$

Table of consecutive values:



X <sub>n</sub>	$f(x_n) = x_n - e^{-x_n}$	$f'(x_n) = 1 + e^{-x_n}$	$\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$
0.500000	-0.106531	1.606531	-0.066311
0.566311	-0.001305	1.567616	-0.000832
0.567143	0.000000	1.567143	0.000000
0.567143			

Correct to four decimal places, the solution to  $x = e^{-x}$  is x = 0.5671. In fact, we have the root correct to six decimal places, x = 0.567143.

A spreadsheet to demonstrate Newton's method for this example is available from the course web site, at "www.engr.mun.ca/~ggeorge/9420/demos/".

This method converges much more rapidly than bisection, but requires more computational effort.

Note that Newton's method can fail if f'(x) = 0 in the neighbourhood of the root. A shallow tangent line could result in a sequence of approximations that fails to converge to the correct value.

## 3.03 Euler's Method for First Order ODEs

One of the simplest methods for obtaining the numerical values of solutions of initial value problems of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), \qquad y(x_0) = y_0$$

is Euler's method.

From the definition of the derivative,  $\frac{dy}{dx} = \lim_{\Delta x \to 0} \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}$ , we obtain  $\Delta y \approx \frac{dy}{dx} \Delta x$ . If we seek values of the solution y(x) at successive evenly spaced values of x, then we have  $\Delta y = y_{n+1} - y_n \implies y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta y \approx y_n + f(x_n, y_n) \Delta x$ . With (by convention)  $h = \Delta x$ , we have the iterative scheme

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h f(x_n, y_n)$$

However, errors propagate rapidly unless the step size h is very small, which requires a proportionate increase in the number of computations. Several modifications to Euler's method have been proposed, that replace the derivative  $y' = f(x_n, y_n)$  by a weighted average of values of f at points around  $(x_n, y_n)$ .

One of the most popular modifications is the fourth order Runge-Kutta method (RK4).

# 3.04 Fourth Order Runge-Kutta Procedure (RK4)

Values  $(x_n, y_n)$  [with  $x_n = x_0 + nh$ ] of the solution y(x) to the initial value problem

$$y' = f(x, y), \qquad y(x_0) = y_0$$

are given by the iterative scheme

$$k_{1} = f(x_{n}, y_{n})$$

$$k_{2} = f(x_{n} + \frac{1}{2}h, y_{n} + \frac{1}{2}hk_{1})$$

$$k_{3} = f(x_{n} + \frac{1}{2}h, y_{n} + \frac{1}{2}hk_{2})$$

$$k_{4} = f(x_{n} + h, y_{n} + hk_{3})$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_{n} + \frac{h}{6}(k_{1} + 2k_{2} + 2k_{3} + k_{4})$$

## Example 3.04.1

Use the RK4 procedure with step size h = 0.1 to obtain an approximation to y(1.5) for the solution of the initial value problem y' = 2xy, y(1) = 1.

 $x_0 = 1, h = 0.1$  and we want y(1.5).  $1.5 = 1 + 5 \times 0.1$ , so we need to find  $y_5$ .  $(x_0, y_0) = (1, 1)$  and f(x, y) = 2xy.

For 
$$n = 0$$
:  
 $k_1 = f(x_0, y_0) = 2x_0y_0 = 2 \times 1 \times 1 = 2$   
 $k_2 = 2(1 + \frac{1}{2}(0.1))(1 + \frac{1}{2}(0.1)2) = 2.31$   
 $k_3 = 2(1 + \frac{1}{2}(0.1))(1 + \frac{1}{2}(0.1)2.31) = 2.34255$   
 $k_4 = 2(1 + 0.1)(1 + (0.1)2.34255) = 2.715361$   
 $y_1 = y_0 + \frac{h}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) = 1 + \frac{0.1}{6}(2 + 2(2.31) + 2(2.34255) + 2.715361)$   
Therefore  $y(1.1) \approx y_1 = 1.23367435$ 

We can proceed with a similar chain of calculations to find  $y_2, y_3, y_4$  and finally  $y_5$ .

n	$X_n$	Уn	$k_1$	$k_2$	$k_3$	$k_4$
0	1.000000	1.000000	2.000000	2.310000	2.342550	2.715361
1	1.100000	1.233674	2.714084	3.149571	3.199652	3.728735
2	1.200000	1.552695	3.726469	4.347547	4.425182	5.187555
3	1.300000	1.993687	5.183586	6.082738	6.204124	7.319478
4	1.400000	2.611633	7.312573	8.634059	8.825675	10.482602
5	1.500000	3.490211				

Example 3.04.1 (continued)

Therefore  $y(1.5) \approx 3.4902$ .

This initial value problem happens to have an exact solution,  $y = e^{x^2-1}$ . We can therefore test the accuracy of the RK4 procedure in this case.

The exact value of y(1.5) is 3.4903..., an absolute error of less than 0.0002 and a relative error of less than 0.01%. Euler's method, in contrast, has an error exceeding 16%!

# END OF CHAPTER 3