## Comment on the Article **"Picturing Newton's Formula for** $\pi$ " by Hasan Ünal Mathematics in School, 2012 Nov., vol. 41, no. 5, page 13

In a curious turn of events, there is a simple error in the published letter of 2013 January which invalidates the derivation of the generalised form of Newton's formula, yet that generalised form remains correct! I am grateful to Brian Pottle, a student in the Bachelor of Engineering programme at Memorial University, for bringing this error to my attention.

The published letter reads:

Mathematics in School, 2013 Jan., vol. 42, no. 1, page 39

I enjoyed reading the extension of Newton's formula to

$$2\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{a-x}{a}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{a-1}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{with} \quad x = a\sqrt{2}$$

However, I noticed a further generalisation.

 $x = a\sqrt{2} \implies a = \frac{x^2}{2}$   $\implies$  [the error is here – a failure to square *a*] which is an integer if and only if *x* is an even integer, x = 2n

$$\Rightarrow \quad a = \frac{(2n)^2}{2} = 2n^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a - x = 2n^2 - 2n = 2n(n-1),$$
$$\frac{a - x}{a} = \frac{2n(n-1)}{2n^2} = \frac{n-1}{n}, \quad \frac{x}{a-1} = \frac{2n}{2n^2-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{2n^2}$$

The generalised form of Newton's formula then becomes, for all natural numbers n,

$$2\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2n}{2n^2-1}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2n^2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Starting instead with a generalisation of the diagram in the note by Hasan Ünal, [next page]



The square is of side  $2n^2$ . Two of the vertices of the shaded triangle divide the top and right sides as shown. The angles  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\theta$  are defined to be the angles as illustrated in the left, right and bottom unshaded right-angled triangles respectively, so that

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{2n(n-1)}{2n^2} = \frac{n-1}{n}$$
,  $\tan \beta = \frac{2n}{2n^2-1}$  and  $\tan \theta = \frac{1}{2n^2}$ 

The angle at the top vertex of the shaded triangle is clearly  $\alpha + \beta$ .

A key step is to show that the shaded triangle is isosceles.

The square of the length of its right side is (from the right unshaded triangle)

$$L_{1}^{2} = (2n)^{2} + (2n^{2} - 1)^{2} = 4n^{2} + 4n^{4} - 4n^{2} + 1 = 4n^{4} + 1$$

The square of the length of its lower side is (from the bottom unshaded triangle)

$$L_2^2 = (2n)^2 + (1)^2 = 4n^4 + 1 = L_1^2$$

Therefore these two sides of the shaded triangle are equal.

Therefore the angle at the lower left vertex of the triangle is  $\alpha + \beta$ .

Adding up the angles at the lower left corner of the square,  $\alpha + (\alpha + \beta) + \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \Rightarrow$ 

$$2\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2n}{2n^2-1}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2n^2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Setting n = 2 recovers Newton's formula. The generalised form holds even for n = 1!

Glyn George Faculty of Engineering and Applied Science Memorial University of Newfoundland St. John's NL Canada A1B 3X5

glyn@mun.ca http://www.engr.mun.ca/~ggeorge