Application: Public Key Cryptography

Suppose I wanted people to send me secret messages by snail mail

- Method 0.
 - * I send a padlock, that only I have the key to, to everyone who might want to send me a message.
 - * They send me the message in a locked box.
 - * Problem 0. I need to know in advance who wants to send me a message
 - * Problem 1. Any one with one of my padlocks can inspect it to discover the key.
 - * Problem 2.man [sic] in the middle attacks.
- Method 1.
 - * I design a key.
 - * Then I design a padlock only opened by that key
 - * I publish the design of the lock on my web-site
 - * Inspecting the design, does not reveal the key!
 - * Now anyone can send me a secret message
 - With public key cryptography, we do the mathematical equivalent

Public Key Cryptography

Can we create a way to encrypt information such that:

- anyone can encrypt a message
- only we can decrypt the message?

In one sense the answer is no

- Anyone can encrypt all possible message and see which encrypted version matches the one sent
- But, if the number of possible messages is large, this is impractical

Public key cryptography

- Encryption using publicly available information is fast
- Decryption using publicly available information is possible, but very very very slow
- There is a second, fast, method of decryption that relies on secret information

The RSA Algorithm

- ullet I pick two different large primes p and q, each roughly 150 decimal digits long
- Let $n = p \cdot q$. Note n is about 300 decimal digits long
- I pick two integers e and d such that

$$0 < e, d < (p-1)(q-1)$$
 and $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$

- Claim: If $0 \le a < n$ then $(a^e \mod n)^d \mod n = a$ * To be proved later
- ullet The numbers e and n are made public
- I keep d, p, and q secret.
- To encrypt a number a with $0 \le a < n$ compute $b = a^e \mod n$. Transmit b to me.
- To decrypt b, I compute $b^d \mod n$. This will equal a.
- To send a sequence of bits: Each segment of $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$ bits encodes a number between 0 and n-1. So we split the sequence into segments and encrypt each segment.

Why is this secure?

- No one currently knows of a fast enough way to compute a from b, e, and n, without factoring n
- No one currently knows of a fast enough way to factor large numbers such as n

Why is it practical?

- There are plenty of primes of about 150 digits
- Finding primes of this size is not unreasonably hard
- (In practice the numbers used are probably prime with a very, very, very high probability)
- Finding a suitable d from e is reasonably fast
- All the encryption and decryption operations can be done reasonably fast

Why does it work?

Before we can prove that $(a^e \mod n)^d \mod n = a$, we need two theorems.

- The Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT)
- Fermat's Little Theorem.

Chinese Remainder Theorem

Suppose we have two digital clocks displaying minutes.

- \bullet One repeats every 5 minutes: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 0, 1, ...
- The other repeats every 12 minutes:

$$0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 0, 1, \dots$$

• So, assuming perfect synchronization, we see (0,0),(1,1),(2,2),(3,3),(4,4),(0,5),(1,6),(2,7),(3,8),...

ullet This sequence will repeat after $5 \cdot 12$ minutes. The sequence is

$$(0 \mod 5, 0 \mod 12), (1 \mod 5, 1 \mod 12), \dots$$

- ullet Q. For what pairs of numbers m , n will we get $m \cdot n$ different pairs?
- A. When m and n have no common factor. I.e. when gcd(m, n) = 1.
- If we know the two remainders $(i \mod m, i \mod n)$, we can figure out the number of minutes $i \mod m \cdot n$
- If gcd(m, n) = 1 and $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then $a \equiv b \pmod{mn}$
- This is the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Fermat's Little Theorem

Consider the sequence $a^n \mod p$ for some prime p and 0 < a < p and n = 0, 1, 2, ...

• For example take p = 11 and a = 2 then we get $2^0 \mod 11, 2^1 \mod 11, 2^2 \mod 11, \dots$ = 1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6, 1, 2, 4, ...

We get a sequence that starts with 1 and repeats after 10 numbers

• Consider p=11 & a=3 and also p=11 & a=10, 1,3,9,5,4,1,3,... and 1,10,1,10,...

We get sequences with periods 5 and 2 respectively

- In fact for any a (0 < a < p) the period will be a divisor of p-1. [Can you prove this?]
- In all three examples, items 0, 10, 20 etc. are 1
- In general, items 0, p-1, 2(p-1) etc. will be 1: $a^{p-1} \bmod p = 1$
- ullet We can generalize this result to any a that p does not divide
- This is Fermat's Little Theorem

Back to RSA

We need to show $(a^e \mod n)^d \mod n = a$ where

- $\bullet n = pq$,
- \bullet p and q are prime
- ullet e and d are such that 0 < e, d < (p-1)(q-1) and $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$

Since $(i \bmod n)(j \bmod n) \bmod n = (i \cdot j) \bmod n$ we really need to show

$$a^{ed} \equiv a \pmod{n}$$

By the CRT we need only show $a^{ed} \equiv a \pmod{p}$ and $a^{ed} \equiv a \pmod{q}$

- First we show $a^{ed} \equiv a \pmod{p}$
 - * If p divides a, then p also divides a^{ed} (since ed > 0); thus the congruence simplifies to

$$0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
,

which is obviously true.

* Now suppose p does not divide a.

Since $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$, there must be some k such that k(p-1)(q-1) = ed - 1.

Let k be such that k(p-1)(q-1)+1=ed.

$$a^{ed} = a^{k(p-1)(q-1)+1} = a \cdot \left(a^{k(q-1)}\right)^{p-1}$$

Since p does not divide a, it also does not divide $a^{k(q-1)}$, so we can apply Fermat's little theorem. Continuing:

$$a^{ed}$$

$$= a \cdot \left(a^{k(q-1)}\right)^{p-1}$$

$$\equiv a \cdot 1 \pmod{p} \quad \text{by Fermat's little theorem}$$

$$= a$$

Thus $a^{ed} \equiv a \pmod{p}$

• Similarly $a^{ed} \equiv a \pmod{q}$.

Using RSA for authentication

RSA has a nice property that many public key algorithms don't.

The encryption and decryption algorithms commute.

Thus I can "sign" a message as follows.

- Suppose I have secret key d and public key (e, n).
- Suppose my message is b. With $0 \le b < n$
- I'll compute $a = b^d \mod n$ and send you both b and a.
- ullet On receipt, you "encrypt" a to get $b' = a^e \mod n$ and check that b' = b.
- ullet Only someone who knows d could (feasibly) have calculated a from b, n, and e.