Contracts for objects -- 1

Black Box Specification

Information hiding

- Recall that so far our class contracts have been in terms of the actual fields of the class.
 - This breaks <u>information hiding</u>. The details of how a class is implemented are typically not something the client coder should worry about, nor should their code depend on these.
 - Interfaces can not be specified by such contracts.

Model Fields

- One solution is to use fictitious fields.
- These are fields that describe the object's state in a client oriented way
- Consider the Dictionary class from the previous slide set.
- What its fields represent is a function from strings to integers.
- We call such field "model fields"



Dictionary revisited

interface Dictionaryl {
 // model field f is a function from a finite set of
 // strings to nonnegative integers
 // invariant f is one-one (i.e. different strings map
 // to different integers)
 // model field int capacity
 // invariant capacity >= the size of domain(f)

```
Dictionary revisited
```

// ensures result == capacity
int getCapacity();

// ensures result == the size of domain(f)
int getSize();

// requires str != null
// ensures if str equals any string domain(f)
// then result == f(str) else result == -1
int getInt(String str);

Dictionary Revisited

// requires str != null and getSize() < getCapacity()
// modifies f</pre>

// ensures domain(f') == domain(f) union {str}

// and (for all s in domain(f), f'(s)==f(s))
int putString(String str);

}

The Dictionary class and DictionaryI

- Everything that Dictionaryl promises the Dictionary class delivers
- Let's make a small change to Dictionary class Dictionary <u>implements Dictionaryl</u> {
- We can see that Dictionary realizes Dictionaryl semantically as well as syntactically.
- I.e. the LSP is respected. Any object of class Dictionary satisfies the expectations of any client who expects an object of type Dictionaryl

Black boxes

- We call Dictionaryl a <u>black box</u> specification of Dictionary.
- It (partially) specifies the behaviour of Dictionary objects without revealing their implementation.
- A client that relies only on the guarantees provided by Dictionaryl will not be broken if we change the implementation of Dictionary.