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The research question.

Can we write pull code in a pushy world?
Asynchrony

Events happen when they want to, not when our program wants them to.

- Users provide input.
- Other computers send data (or fail).
- Parallel computations complete or indicate progress or fail.

The world pushes data at our programs.
The usual solution

▶ Inversion of control.
▶ Program responds to events.
▶ The story line of the program is not reflected in the code.

What do I mean by that?
How I learned to program

The code **pulls** the data it needs from the user, from files, from the net.

```plaintext
proc main()
    loop
        print "What is your name"
        var name := read
        print "hello " name
```

Can you see the story told by the code?
The structure of interaction is reflected in the structure of the code.
How we write code in an asynchronous world

The world **pushes** data at our program.

```plaintext
var nameBox := new TextBox()
var question := new Label("What is your name")
var reply := new Label()
proc main()
    attach handler nameBox nameBoxHandler
    show question
    show nameBox

proc nameBoxHandler( event )
    var name := nameBox.contents()
    reply.text := "hello " name
    show reply

Where did the structure go?
```
Inversion of control

This style of programming is named *Inversion of Control*

The UI framework calls our code instead of our code calling the library.

Having a fancy name doesn’t mean it’s a good idea.
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Use cases

Use cases are stories. They are often used in requirements gathering.
A use case is like a script that describes a (part of) the interactions various parties.

**Juliet**  Go ask his name: if he be married.
       My grave is like to be my wedding bed.

**Nurse**  His name is Romeo, and a Montague;
       The only son of your great enemy.

**Juliet**  My only love sprung from my only hate!
       Too early seen unknown, and known too late!
       Prodigious birth of love it is to me,
       That I must love a loathed enemy.
Use cases

Use case: Greet user by name forever.

0. **System:** Prompts for name.
1. **User:** Types in a name and presses “enter”.
2. **System:** Greets the user by name.
3. Back to 0.

Functional requirements can be captured by a set of use cases.
Use cases

Note how the “pull code” follows the structure of the use case.

0. **System:** Prompts for name.

1. **User:** Types in name and enter.

2. **System:** Greets the user by name

3. Back to 0.

```plaintext
loop

print "What is your name"
var name := read
print "hello " name
```
Lack of structure with inversion of control

```plaintext
var nameBox := new TextBox()
var question := new Label("What is your name")
var reply := new Label()

proc main()
    attach handler nameBox nameBoxHandler
    show question
    show nameBox

proc nameBoxHandler(event)
    var name := nameBox.contents()
    reply.text := "hello " name
    show reply
```

Where did the structure go?
Add a requirement

We want that each name entered is greeted for a minimum of 1 second.

0. **System:** Prompts for name.
1. **User:** Types in name and enter.
2. **System:** Greets the user by name
3. **System:** Waits one second
4. Back to 0.
Add a requirement to “pull code”

```plaintext
proc main()
    loop
        print “What is your name”
        var name := read
        print “hello ” name
        pause 1.0
```
Add a requirement to inversion of control version

```python
... var timer := new Timer(1.0)
proc main()
  attach handler nameBox nameBoxHandler
  attach handler timer timeHandler
  show question ; show nameBox
proc timeHandler()
  attach handler nameBox nameBoxHandler
  stop timer ; show question ; show nameBox
proc nameBoxHandler( event )
  var name := nameBox.contents()
  reply.text := "hello " name ; show reply
  hide question ; hide nameBox
  detach handler nameBox nameBoxHandler
  start timer
```
Add a requirement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code inflation:</th>
<th>Pull (console app)</th>
<th>Push (IoC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

But the code inflation is not the main point. It is that, with inversion of control, changes are all over the place.

- No structure.
- No procedural abstraction.
- New global state.
- New global invariants.
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Callback hell

Programmers have a name for programming with callbacks: Callback hell

Why?

▶ Global state
▶ Often implicit state buried in objects like ‘timer’
▶ Typically undocumented global invariants
  ▶ “if Timer ‘timer’ is running, Label ‘question’ is not showing”
▶ It is unstructured.
▶ It defies procedural abstraction.
▶ All stories (use cases) are put into a blender.
▶ Lack of modularity, tracabilty, malleability, maintainability.
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Writing pull code in a pushy world.

“The old dog barks backwards” – Robert Frost
I’m nostalgic.
I miss writing code that I can understand the next day.
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A *monad* is a set of objects together with a sequencing operation. Like a *monoid* but with a twist.
But I don’t have time to explain all about monads.
We’ll just look at the one that solves our problem.
The rest of the talk presents a library called *Take Back Control*.

TBC is written in Haxe.

Haxe a Java-like language that can be translated into

- JavaScript
- Java
- C++
- C#
- Python

So the library can be used on a variety of platforms and from a variety of languages.
Objects that represent actions

Objects of class **Process** can represent individual actions.

- **exec(fred)** is a **Process** that represents the action of calling function **fred**.
Sequencing

Objects of class Process can also represent sequences of actions.

- `exec(fred) > exec(ginger)` is a Process that represents the sequence of actions
  - calling function `fred`
  - and later calling function `ginger`

> is sequential composition.

In general a Process represents a set of sequences of individual actions and events.
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Loops

If \( p \) is a \texttt{Process<A>} then \texttt{loop(p)} is \((p>p>p>...)\)

Now we can implement our example

```javascript
function main() {
  var p =
    loop (  
      clearText( nameBox ) >  
      show( nameBox ) >  
      show( question ) >  
      getAndDisplayAnswer() > // Implement later.  
      hide( question ) >  
      hide( nameBox ) >  
      pause( 1000 )  ) ;
  p.go( function(x:Triv){ } ) ; // Execute p
}
```
So what is this \texttt{go} method? If

\begin{itemize}
  \item \( p : \text{Process}\langle A \rangle \)
  \item \( k : A \rightarrow \text{Void} \), i.e. a function with no result.
\end{itemize}

\( p.\texttt{go}(k) \) starts execution of process \( p \) and ensures that (when and if the execution terminates) its output will be input to function \( k \).

Examples

\begin{itemize}
  \item If \( p \) is \texttt{unit}(42), then \( p.\texttt{go}(k) \equiv k(42) \)
  \item If \( p \) is \texttt{exec}(fred), then \( p.\texttt{go}(k) \equiv k(fred()) \)
  \item if \( p \) is \texttt{exec}(fred) \( > \) \texttt{pause}(1000) \( > \) \texttt{exec}(ginger), then \( p.\texttt{go}(k) \) calls \texttt{fred} and ensures that 1 second later \( k(ginger()) \) is executed.
\end{itemize}
Implementing sequential composition

Suppose

- \( p : \text{Process}\langle A \rangle \)
- \( q : \text{Process}\langle B \rangle \)
- \( k : B \rightarrow \text{Void} \)

\( p > q \) is an object \( r \) where

\[ r\.go(k) \equiv p\.go( \text{function}(x:A)\{ q\.go(k); \} ) \]

That's all there is to implementing sequential composition.
Bind

A useful variation on sequential composition is called *bind*

Suppose

- $p : \text{Process}<A>$
- $f : A \rightarrow \text{Process}<B>$

then $p >= f : \text{Process}<B>$

$p >= f$ is a process that, when executed,

- executes $p$ to get a result $x$
- and then executes $f(x)$

$p >= f$ is an object $r$ where

\[
r.go(k) \equiv p.go(\text{function}(x:A)\{ f(x).go(k);\})
\]
Guards and Guarded Processes

A `Guard<E>` object represents a set of events. If

- `g : Guard<E>`
- `p : Process<A>`

then `g && p : GuardedProcess<A>`

A `GuardedProcess<A>` represents an set of sequences of actions that can be triggered by a set of events.
Guards and Guarded Processes

Example
Suppose

- `enter(nameBox)` represents the event of the enter key in `nameBox`
- `getValue(nameBox) : Process<String>`

then

`enter(nameBox) && getValue(nameBox)` is a guarded process.
Await

If

- \( g : \text{Guard}<E> \)
- \( p : \text{Process}<A> \)

then \( \text{await}( g && p ) : \text{Process}<A> \)

Executing \( \text{await}( g && p ) \)

- events enabled
- event happens
- events are disabled
- \( p \) executes.
Finishing the example

```javascript
function main() {
  var p = ... >
  loop ( ... >
    getAndDisplayAnswer() >
    ... ) ;
  p.go( function(x:Triv){} ) ; }

function getAndDisplayAnswer() : Process<Triv> { return
  await( enter( nameBox ) && (getValue(nameBox) ) >=
  hello ; }

function hello( name : String ) { return
  putText( reply, "Hello "+name ) ; }
```
Choices

We can make a choice between guarded processes

```javascript
await( gp || gq )
```

Await can wait for any of a number of events:

```javascript
await(
    enter( nameBox ) && getValue(nameBox) >= hello
 ||
    timeout(5000) && flash( question ) > invoke( top )
)
```
Fixed points

We can create loops that allow exits by using a fixed-point operator:

```haskell
function getAndDisplayAnswer( ) : Process<Triv> { 
    function f( top : Void -> Process<Triv> ) { return 
        await( 
            enter( nameBox ) && getValue(nameBox) >= hello 
            || 
            timeout(5000) && flash(question) > invoke(top) 
        ) ; } 
    return fix( f ) ; 
}
```
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