[5]

[3]



1. A sample of 43 measurements of breaking load is summarized in this boxplot.

The location of the sample mean is indicated by the symbol \oplus .

- (a) Determine whether the outlier is mild or extreme. Show your working.
- (b) Excluding the outlier, state any two other reasons to deduce positive skew. [2]
- (c) Using the summary statistics

$$n = 43$$
, $\sum x = 1462$, $\sum x^2 = 107212$

find the value of the sample standard deviation *s* for these data.

(a) $IQR = x_U - x_L = 50 - 10 = 40$

The upper outer fence is located at $x_{II} + 3 \times IQR = 50 + 3 \times 40 = 50 + 120 = 170$ $180 > 170 \implies$ the outlier is beyond the outer fence. Therefore the outlier is

extreme

- (b) [Any two of]
 - the upper quartile is much further away from the median than the lower quartile;
 - mean > median
 - the right whisker is much longer than left whisker

(c)
$$s^{2} = \frac{n\sum x^{2} - (\sum x)^{2}}{n(n-1)} = \frac{43 \times 107212 - (1462)^{2}}{43 \times 42} = \frac{2472672}{1806} = \frac{9584}{7}$$

= 1369.142857 $\Rightarrow s = \sqrt{1369.142857} = 37.001930...$
Correct to 3 s.f.,

- 2. The load W (in g) needed to break a new type of cotton thread is known to be a random quantity that follows a Normal distribution. It is believed that the population mean breaking load is 20 g and the strength of that belief is represented by the standard deviation $\sigma_0 = 1$ g. A random sample of 25 such threads has a mean breaking load of 18.72 g with a sample standard deviation of 2.50 g.
 - (a) Construct a Bayesian 95% confidence interval estimate for the true mean [5] breaking load μ .
 - (b) Construct a classical 95% confidence interval estimate for the true mean [5] breaking load μ.
 - (c) Is there sufficient evidence to conclude that the true mean breaking load μ is [2] not 20 g?
 - (d) Provide a *brief* reason for the different widths of your two confidence intervals. [2]

(a) Prior: $\mu_{o} = 20$, $\sigma_{o} = 1 \implies w_{o} = \frac{1}{1^{2}} = 1$ Data: $\overline{x} = 18.72$, n = 25, $s = 2.50 \implies w_{d} = \frac{n}{s^{2}} = \frac{25}{6.25} = 4$ $w_{f} = w_{o} + w_{d} = 1 + 4 = 5 \implies (\sigma^{*})^{2} = \frac{1}{w_{f}} = \frac{1}{5} = 0.2$ $\mu^{*} = \frac{w_{o}\mu_{o} + w_{d}\overline{x}}{w_{o} + w_{d}} = 5(1 \times 20 + 4 \times 18.72) = 18.976$ $\alpha = 5\% \implies z_{\alpha/2} = z_{.025} = 1.95996$ The Bayesian 95% CI for μ is $\mu^{*} \pm z_{\alpha/2}\sigma^{*} = 18.976 \pm 1.95... \times \sqrt{0.2} = 18.976 \pm 0.876... =$ [18.10, 19.85]

OR using a conservative estimate with 24 degrees of freedom, $\alpha = 5\% \implies t_{\alpha/2, n-1} = t_{.025, 24} = 2.06390$ $\mu^* \pm t_{\alpha/2, \nu} \sigma^* = 18.976 \pm 2.06... \times \sqrt{0.2} = 18.976 \pm 0.923... =$ (18.05, 19.90) 2 (b) $\alpha = 5\% \implies t_{\alpha/2, n-1} = t_{.025, 24} = 2.06390$

The Classical 95% CI for μ is

$$\overline{x} \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = 18.72 \pm 2.06 \dots \times \frac{2.5}{\sqrt{25}} = 18.72 \pm 1.03195 =$$
[17.69, 19.75]

Note that n < 30, so it is *not* correct to take

$$\overline{x} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = 18.72 \pm 1.95 \dots \times \frac{2.5}{\sqrt{25}} = 18.72 \pm 0.97998 = (17.74, 19.70)$$

- (c) Neither confidence interval includes 20. Therefore
- (d) The Bayesian confidence interval is narrower because it includes more information.

YES

3. A continuous random quantity *X* has a probability density function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{4} \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) & (-1 \le x \le +1) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

- (a) Find the exact value of $P\left[\left| X \right| < \frac{1}{2} \right]$. [5]
- (b) Find the exact value of the population variance σ^2 .

[5]

(a)
$$P\left[\left|X\right| < \frac{1}{2}\right] = P\left[-\frac{1}{2} < X < \frac{1}{2}\right] = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(x) dx = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{\pi}{4} \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) dx$$

 $= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right)\right]_{-1/2}^{+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sin\frac{\pi}{4} - \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) \implies$
 $P\left[\left|X\right| < \frac{1}{2}\right] = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$

[This probability is approximately 71%, but an exact value is required.]

Additional note for 3(a):

One may find the c.d.f. first:

$$P[X \le x] = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t) dt = \int_{-1}^{x} \frac{\pi}{4} \cos\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right) dt \quad (-1 \le x \le +1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right) \right]_{-1}^{x} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sin\frac{\pi x}{2} - \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sin\frac{\pi x}{2} - (-1) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sin\frac{\pi x}{2} \right)$$
Then

$$P\left[\left| X \right| < \frac{1}{2} \right] = P\left[-\frac{1}{2} < X < \frac{1}{2} \right] = F\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - F\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow P\left[\left| X \right| < \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$$

(b)
$$f(x)$$
 is symmetric about $x = 0 \implies \mu = \mathbb{E}[X] = 0$
 $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 f(x) dx = \int_{-1}^{1} x^2 \frac{\pi}{4} \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) dx$
 $= \left[\left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) + \frac{2x}{\pi} \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right)\right]_{-1}^{+1}$
 $= \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2}\right) \times 1 + 0\right) - \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2}\right) \times (-1) + 0\right) = 1 - \frac{8}{\pi^2}$
 $\mathbb{V}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2 = 1 - \frac{8}{\pi^2} - 0 \implies$
 $\sigma^2 = 1 - \frac{8}{\pi^2}$

 $[\sigma^2 \approx 0.189 \implies \sigma \approx 0.435$, but an exact value is required.]

4. In a network, components B and C are connected in parallel and that subsystem is connected in series with component A as shown.



The subsystem works if at least one of the components B or C functions properly. The complete system works only if component A and the subsystem both function properly. Let A = the event that component A functions properly;

B = the event that component B functions properly; and

C = the event that component C functions properly.

It is known that P[A] = .80, independently of the other two components, and that P[B] = .50, independently of component A. However, the event *C* is dependent on the event *B*. A failure in component B increases the load on component C and therefore reduces the probability that component C will work.

It is known that P[C | B] = .90 and $P[C | \tilde{B}] = .50$.

(a)	Find $P[B \cup C]$.	[6]
(b)	Find the probability that the complete system works.	[3]

(c) Find P[C]. [5]

(a) There are many routes to a correct solution.

$$P[B \cup C] = 1 - P[\sim (B \cup C)] = 1 - P[\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{C}] \quad \text{(by deMorgan's Laws)}$$

$$= 1 - P[\tilde{B}]P[\tilde{C} \mid \tilde{B}] \quad \text{(general multiplication law of probability)}$$

$$= 1 - (1 - P[B])(1 - P[C \mid \tilde{B}]) \quad \text{(complementary events)} \quad [\text{Venn diagram not required]}$$

$$= 1 - (1 - .5)(1 - .5) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{4} \implies$$

$$P[B \cup C] = \frac{3}{4} = .75$$

OR

$$P[B \cup C] = P[B \cup (C \cap \widetilde{B})] = P[B] + P[C \cap \widetilde{B}] = P[B] + P[\widetilde{B}] \cdot P[C \mid \widetilde{B}]$$
$$= P[B] + (1 - P[B]) \cdot P[C \mid \widetilde{B}] = .5 + (1 - .5) \times .5 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4}$$

OR

4 (a) (continued)

By the general multiplication law of probability,

$$P[B \cap C] = P[B]P[C|B] = .5 \times .9 = .45 \text{ and}$$

$$P[\tilde{B} \cap C] = P[\tilde{B}]P[C|\tilde{B}] = (1 - P[B])P[C|\tilde{B}] = .5 \times .5 = .25$$
By the total probability law, $P[C] = P[B \cap C] + P[\tilde{B} \cap C] = .45 + .25 = .70$,
(which is the answer to part (c)!)
By the general addition law of probability,
 $P[B \cup C] = P[B] + P[C] - P[B \cap C] = .5 + .7 - .45 = .75$
Employ a probability calculation tree:

OR



- 4 (b) P[system works] = P $[A \cap (B \cup C)]$ = P $[A] \cdot P[B \cup C]$ (independent events) = .80×.75 = .60 or $\frac{8}{10} \times \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{5}$
 - (c) By the total probability law, $P[C] = P[C \cap B] + P[C \cap \tilde{B}]$ By the general multiplication law, $P[C] = P[C | B] \cdot P[B] + P[C | \tilde{B}] \cdot P[\tilde{B}]$ $\Rightarrow P[C] = .90 \times .50 + .50 \times .50 = .45 + .25 \Rightarrow$ P[C] = .70

5. The performances of eight machines of varying capacities in a standard test are measured before (*x*) and after (*y*) the application of a proposed new cleaning device. It is known that both populations are normally distributed with a common variance. The manager will invest in the new cleaning device only if there is evidence, at a level of significance of 5%, that the device has improved the average performance score by more than 1.0.

(a)	Which of the two sample <i>t</i> -tests (paired or unpaired) should be conducted?	[3]
	State the reason for your selection.	
(b)	Write down the appropriate null and alternative hypotheses.	[3]
(c)	Use the appropriate set of Minitab output below, to conduct the appropriate	[4]
	hypothesis test, at a level of significance of 5%.	
(d)	What should the manager's decision be?	[2]

(d) What should the manager's decision be?

Two-Sample T-Test and CI: After, Before

```
Mean StDev SE Mean
        Ν
After
        8
          154.0
                   49.9
                             18
Before 8 150.0
                   49.0
                             17
Difference = mu (After) - mu (Before)
Estimate for difference: 4.0
95% lower bound for difference: -39.5
T-Test of difference = 1 (vs >): T-Value = 0.121 P-Value = 0.453 DF = 14
Both use Pooled StDev = 49.4397
```

Paired T-Test and CI: After, Before

Mean StDev SE Mean N After 8 154.0 49.9 17.6 Before 8 150.0 49.0 17.3 Difference 8 4.00 4.47 1.58 95% lower bound for mean difference: 1.004 T-Test of mean difference = 1 (vs > 1): T-Value = 1.897 P-Value = 0.0498

5 (a) The same eight machines are in both samples. Therefore used a

paired test

(b) The burden of proof is on showing that $\mu_y - \mu_x > 1$. Let D = Y - X. Therefore test

$$\mathcal{H}_{o}: \mu_{D} = 1$$
 vs. $\mathcal{H}_{A}: \mu_{D} > 1$

(c) Using method 3, the *p*-value for the paired *t*-test is reported by Minitab to be .0498. $p < \alpha$ (just barely). Therefore, at a 5% level of significance,

reject \mathcal{H}_{o} in favour of \mathcal{H}_{A} : $\mu_{Y} - \mu_{X} > 1$

(d) We have sufficient evidence for \mathcal{H}_A . Therefore

invest in the new cleaning device

6. The time (y) to failure of a device is measured for each of 25 values of a load (x). A plot of the observed values of (x, y) and a normal probability plot of the residuals from simple linear regression are shown here.



- (a) State two reasons why the simple linear regression model for *Y* as a function [2] of *x* is appropriate.
- (a) [Any two of]
 - the points (*x*, *y*) follow a linear trend.
 - the distribution of the residuals is consistent with a normal distribution.
- the variance of *Y* seems to be independent of *x*.

6 (continued)

Use the following summary statistics to answer the remaining parts of this question.

$$\begin{array}{ll} n = 25 & \sum x = 8\,000 & \sum y = 719.81 \\ \sum x^2 = 2\,690\,000 & \sum xy = 216\,777.00 & \sum y^2 = 22\,391.88 \\ n\,S_{xx} = 3\,250\,000 & n\,S_{xy} = -\,339\,055.00 & n\,S_{yy} = 41670.5639 \end{array}$$

- (b) Find the equation of the regression line $(\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x)$. [3]
- (c) Construct the ANOVA table for this simple linear regression model. [5]
- (d) To the nearest 1%, how much of the total variation in Y is explained by the [3] simple linear regression model? (e) Conduct an appropriate hypothesis test to determine whether or not there is a [3] significant linear association between Y and x.
- (f) Construct a 95% prediction interval for a future value of Y when x = 320. [4]

(b)
$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{nS_{xy}}{nS_{xx}} = \frac{-339055.00}{3250000} = -0.104324615...$$

 $\hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{\sum y - \hat{\beta}_1 \sum x}{n} = \frac{719.81 - (-0.104...) \times 8000}{25} = 62.176276923...$

Therefore, correct to 3 s.f. in the coefficients, the regression line is

$$y = 62.2 - 0.104x$$

(c)
$$SST = S_{yy} = \frac{nS_{yy}}{n} = \frac{41670.5639}{25} = 1666.822556$$

 $SSR = \frac{\left(nS_{xy}\right)^2}{n\left(nS_{xx}\right)} = \frac{\left(-339055.00\right)^2}{25 \times 3250000} = 1414.871298...$
 $SSE = SST - SSR = 1666.8... - 1414.8... = 251.951257230...$
 $MSR = \frac{SSR}{1} = 1414.8...$ $MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-2} = \frac{251.9...}{23} = 10.954402488...$
 $f = t^2 = \frac{MSR}{MSE} = \frac{1414.8...}{10.9...} = 129.160...$
Therefore the ANOVA table is [next page]

Therefore the ANOVA table is [next page]

6 (c) (continued)

Source	d.f.	SS	MS	f
R	1	1 414.871	1 414.871	129.160
Е	23	251.951	10.954	
Т	24	1 666.822		

(d) Required is the coefficient of determination, $r^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = \frac{1414.8...}{1666.8...} = .848... \Rightarrow$ $r^2 = 85\%$

(e) Test $\mathcal{H}_{0}: \beta_{1} = 0$ vs. $\mathcal{H}_{A}: \beta_{1} \neq 0$ From the ANOVA table, $t^{2} = f = 129.160... \Rightarrow t = -11.364...$ [Note: t < 0 because $\hat{\beta}_{1} < 0$] But $t_{.005,23} = 2.80734$. $|t_{obs}| \gg t_{.005,23}$ Therefore reject \mathcal{H}_{0} in favour of \mathcal{H}_{A} at any reasonable α . There is a significant linear association between Y and x.

(f)
$$x_0 = 320$$
. $\overline{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{8000}{25} = 320 \implies x_0 = \overline{x}$
This simplifies the calculation of the prediction interval,
 $(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0) \pm t_{\alpha/2, (n-2)} s \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{n(x_0 - \overline{x})^2}{(n S_{xx})}}, \text{ where } s = \sqrt{MSE}$
 $\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0 = 62.176... - 0.104... \times 320 = 28.792400$
OR note that $x_0 = \overline{x} \implies y_0 = \overline{y} = \frac{\sum y}{n} = \frac{719.81}{25} = 28.7924$
 $t_{\alpha/2, (n-2)} = t_{.025, 23} = 2.06866$
 $t_{\alpha/2, (n-2)} s \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{n(x_0 - \overline{x})^2}{(n S_{xx})}} = 2.06866 \sqrt{10.954...(1 + \frac{1}{25} + 0)} = 6.982326...$
The 95% PI is therefore $28.792400 \pm 6.982326... \implies$

(21.81, 35.77]

7. А partially completed Payoffs decision tree is shown here. +170G = the item is good No insurance -1230D = the item is defective $D = \widetilde{G}$ Pass test The payoffs for items are as h shown at the right edge of the diagram (in units of dollars). Fail test Insurance protects against the Conduct loss due to a defective item. test +70Buy insurance The test costs \$30. Insurance, costing \$100, is -130 purchased if the item fails the i test and is not purchased if the item passes the test. 10 +200It is known that 90% of all Skip items are good. test No insurance -1200If an item is good then it will g pass the test 80% of the time. 10_G Buy insurance +100 If an item is defective, then it will pass the test 20% of the -100time. (a) Verify that the probability labelled (**p**) is exactly $\frac{36}{37}$. [3] (b) Verify that the probability labelled (q) is exactly $\frac{9}{13}$. [2] (c) Find the probability labelled (*r*). [2] (d) Verify that the expected value labelled (a) is exactly $\frac{4890}{37}$. [1] (e) Find the other expected values labelled (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i) and hence [10] determine the optimum strategy that maximizes the expected payoff. By how much can the test cost be increased before the optimum strategy changes? (f) [2] 7 (a) Let P = item passes test and $F = \tilde{P} =$ item fails test. $p = P[G|P] = \frac{P[GP]}{P[P]}$ Joint prob. $\frac{8}{10}$ 72 We know that $P[G] = \frac{9}{10}$, 100 9 G 10 $GF \quad \frac{18}{100}$ $\Rightarrow P[P] = \frac{74}{100}$ 2 10 2 10 $P[P|G] = \frac{8}{10}$ and $P[P|D] = \frac{2}{10}$ $DP\left(\frac{2}{100}\right)$ From the secondary tree diagram, 10 $p = \frac{72}{100} \div \frac{74}{100} = \frac{72}{74} = \frac{36}{37}$ (b) $q = P[G|F] = \frac{P[GF]}{P[F]}$ $P[F] = 1 - P[P] = \frac{100 - 74}{100} = \frac{26}{100} \implies q = \frac{18}{100} \times \frac{100}{26} = \frac{9}{13}$

(c) From the diagram in part (a),
$$r = P[P] = \frac{74}{100} = .74$$

(d)
$$a = +170p + (-1230)(1-p) = \frac{170 \times 36 - 1230 \times 1}{37} = \frac{6120 - 1230}{37} = \frac{4890}{37}$$

(e)
$$b = +70q + (-130)(1-q) = \frac{70 \times 9 - 130 \times 4}{13} = \frac{630 - 520}{13} = \frac{110}{13}$$

 $c = +200 \times \frac{9}{10} + (-1200) \times \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1800 - 1200}{10} = 60$
 $d = +100 \times \frac{9}{10} + (-100) \times \frac{1}{10} = \frac{900 - 100}{10} = 80$
 $e = a = \frac{4890}{37}, \quad f = b = \frac{110}{13}, \quad g = \max(c, d) = d = 80$
 $h = e \cdot r + f \cdot (1-r) = \frac{4890}{37} \times \frac{74}{100} + \frac{110}{13} \times \frac{26}{100} = \frac{9780 + 220}{100} = \frac{10000}{100} = 100$
 $i = \max(g, h) = h = 100$

7 (e) (continued)

The optimum strategy is therefore

invest in the test; and buy insurance if and only if the item fails the test, for a maximum expected gain of **\$100**

<u>Additional Note</u> (not required for a complete solution in the examination):

The actual gain is one of

- +\$ 170 if the item is good and passes the test (72% of the time);
- +\$ 70 if the item is good but fails the test (18% of the time);
- -\$ 130 if the item is defective and fails the test (8% of the time); or
- -\$1,230 if the item is defective but passes the test (2% of the time)
- (f) The amount by which the test cost can be increased without changing the optimum strategy is h g = 100 80 =

BONUS QUESTION

8. A two-sample hypothesis test is designed to determine whether or not the true [+5] mean efficiency rating μ_{new} of a prototype machine is higher than the true mean efficiency rating μ_{old} of an existing machine. Random samples of equal size *n* are taken from each type of machine and their efficiencies are recorded. It is known that the efficiencies are normally distributed with the same known population standard deviation $\sigma = 10$ in both cases.

Find the minimum sample size n_{\min} needed to ensure that the probabilities α (of type I error) and $\beta(5)$ (type II error when $\mu_{new} - \mu_{old} = 5$) are both 5%. [If you quote a formula for n_{\min} , then derive that formula.]



8. <u>Additional Note</u>:

The general case is: Test \mathcal{H}_{o} : $\mu_{B} - \mu_{A} = \Delta_{o}$ vs. \mathcal{H}_{A} : $\mu_{B} - \mu_{A} > \Delta_{o}$ at some specified α . For equal sample sizes *n* and equal population variances σ^{2} ,

$$V\left[\overline{X}_{B} - \overline{X}_{A}\right] = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} = \frac{2\sigma^{2}}{n} \implies (s.e.) = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}$$

The boundary of the rejection region is at $c = \Delta_0 + z_{\alpha} (s.e.)$

$$\beta(\Delta_{1}) = \Phi(-z_{\beta}) = \Phi\left(\frac{c-\Delta_{1}}{(s.e.)}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} + z_{\alpha}(s.e.) - \Delta_{1}}{(s.e.)}\right) = \Phi\left(z_{\alpha} + \frac{\Delta_{0} - \Delta_{1}}{(s.e.)}\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow -z_{\beta} = z_{\alpha} + \frac{\Delta_{0} - \Delta_{1}}{(s.e.)} \implies \frac{\Delta_{1} - \Delta_{0}}{(s.e.)} = z_{\alpha} + z_{\beta}$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}(\Delta_{1} - \Delta_{0}) = z_{\alpha} + z_{\beta} \implies \sqrt{n} = \frac{(z_{\alpha} + z_{\beta})\sigma\sqrt{2}}{\Delta_{1} - \Delta_{0}}$$

Therefore

$$n_{\min} = \operatorname{ceil}\left(2\left(\frac{\left(z_{\alpha}+z_{\beta}\right)\sigma}{\Delta_{1}-\Delta_{0}}\right)^{2}\right)$$

With
$$\Delta_{0} = 0$$
, $\Delta_{1} = 5$, $\alpha = \beta = .05 \implies z_{\alpha} = z_{\beta} = 1.64485$ and $\sigma = 10$,
 $n_{\min} = \operatorname{ceil}\left(2\left(\frac{2 \times 1.64485 \times 10}{5 - 0}\right)^{2}\right) = \operatorname{ceil}\left(2\left(\frac{2 \times 1.64485 \times 10}{5 - 0}\right)^{2}\right)$
 $= \operatorname{ceil}(86.577...) = 87$

Solutions