

# Agile Design Principles: The Open/Closed Principle

---

Based on Chapter 9 of Robert C. Martin,  
*Agile Software Development: Principles,  
Patterns, and Practices*, Prentice Hall,  
2003.

# The Open/Closed Principle OCP

- “Software entities (classes, modules, functions etc) should be open for extension but closed for modification”
- The most straight-forward way to extend software is often to modify it e.g. by introducing if-else or switch statements.
- This often introduces brittleness and does not promote reusability.

# The Open/Closed Principle OCP

- Instead we should design software entities so that future changes on the same axis require no modification.

# OCP in action

- Suppose we need to sort a table representing email messages by time

```
class Sorter {  
    public void sort( TableEntry [] a ) {  
        for( int i = 0 ; i < a.length-1 ; ++i ) {  
            int j = i ; TableEntry min = a[j] ;  
            for( int k = i+1 ; k < a.length() ; ++k ) {  
                if( min.getTime().compareTo( a[k].getTime() ) > 0 ) {  
                    j = k ; min = a[j] ; } }  
            a[j] = a[i] ; a[i] = min ; } } }
```

# A change

- Sometimes sort by fromAddress

```
class Sorter {  
    public void sort( TableEntry [] a, Column col ) {  
        assert col==TIME || col==FROM ;  
        for( int i = 0 ; i < a.length-1 ; ++i ) {  
            int j = i ; TableEntry min = a[j] ;  
            for( int k = i+1 ; k < a.length() ; ++k ) {  
                if( col == TIME &&  
                    min.getTime().compareTo( a[k].getTime() ) > 0  
                    || min.getFrom().compareTo( a[k].getFrom() ) > 0 ) {  
                    j = k ; min = a[j] ; } }  
            a[j] = a[i] ; a[i] = min ; } } }
```

# Refactor

- A better plan is to refactor, factoring out the comparison into another class

```
class Sorter {  
    private Comparator comparator ;  
    public Sorter(Comparator comparator) { this.comparator = comparator ; }  
    public void sort( TableEntry [] a) {  
        for( int i = 0 ; i < a.length-1 ; ++i ) {  
            int j = i ; TableEntry min = a[j] ;  
            for( int k = i+1 ; k < a.length() ; ++k ) {  
                if( comparator.compare( min, a[k] ) > 0 ) {  
                    j = k ; min = a[j] ; } }  
                a[j] = a[i] ; a[i] = min ; } } }  
  
interface Comparator {  
    public int compare( TableEntry x, TableEntry y ) ; }
```

# Extend

- Now the original call becomes

```
Comparator timeComparator =  
    new Comparator() {  
        int compare( TableEntry x, TableEntry y ) {  
            Time xTime = x.getTime() ;  
            Time yTime = y.getTime() ;  
            return xTime.compareTo( yTime ) ; } } ) ;  
  
Sorter timeSorter = new Sorter( timeComparator ) ;  
timeSorter.sort( table ) ;
```

# An Open and Closed Case

- The Sorter class is now Open/Closed with respect to the axis of “comparison method”
- Closed: We should never have to modify it to accommodate other methods of comparison
- Open: It can be extended with new comparison methods

# Another example

- We need to calculate HST tax on items

```
class Item {  
    double cost ;  
    double hst() { return 0.13 * cost ; }  
}
```

- But some items have no GST and some have no PST. Here is a brittle change

```
class Item {  
    double cost ;  
    boolean gstApplies ;  
    boolean pstApplies ;  
    double hst() {  
        if( gstApplies && pstApplies ) return 0.13 * cost ;  
        else if( gstApplies ) return 0.5 * cost ;  
        ... }  
    }
```

# Another example

- can you develop a solution that supports the open/closed principle?

# A Question

- From the example we see that the Strategy pattern supports the Open/Closed Principle.
- Which patterns support OCP and which do not?